arXiv:1702.00435v1 [math.GR] 1 Feb 2017

On groups generated by bi-reversible automata: the two-state case over a changing alphabet

Adam Woryna[a]

[a] *Silesian University of Technology, Institute of Mathematics, ul. Kaszubska 23, 44-100 Gliwice, Poland*

Abstract

The notion of an automaton over a changing alphabet $X = (X_i)_{i\geq 1}$ is used to define and study automorphism groups of the tree X^* of finite words over X. The concept of bi-reversibility for Mealy-type automata is extended to automata over a changing alphabet. It is proved that a non-abelian free group can be generated by a two-state bi-reversible automaton over a changing alphabet $X = (X_i)_{i\geq 1}$ if and only if X is unbounded. The characterization of groups generated by a two-state bi-reversible automaton over the sequence of binary alphabets is established.

Keywords: changing alphabet, transducer, Mealy automaton, automaton over a changing alphabet, group generated by automaton, automorphism group, free group
2010 MSC: 20E05, 20E08, 20E26, 20F10, 20F65, 20F29, 68Q70, 68Q45

1. Transducers and groups generated by them

A changing alphabet is simply an infinite sequence $X = (X_i)_{i\geq 1}$ of non-empty finite sets X_i (so-called sets of letters or finite alphabets). If the sequence $(|X_i|)_{i\geq 1}$ of cardinalities of the finite alphabets is bounded, then X is called bounded, otherwise unbounded.

An automaton A (other names: transducer, time-varying automaton) over a changing alphabet $X = (X_i)_{i\geq 1}$ is a finite set Q (set of states) together with an infinite sequence $\varphi = (\varphi_i)_{i\geq 1}$ of transition functions $\varphi_i \colon Q \times X_i \to Q$ and an infinite sequence $\psi = (\psi_i)_{i\geq 1}$ of output functions $\psi_i \colon Q \times X_i \to X_i$. If all these sequences are constant, then A is called a Mealy-type automaton and it is usually identified with the quadruple $(X_1, Q, \varphi_1, \psi_1)$. If for each $i \geq 1$ and $q \in Q$ the mapping $\sigma_{i,q} \colon x \mapsto \psi_i(q, x)$ $(x \in X_i)$ is a permutation of the alphabet X_i, then A is called invertible. The mapping $\sigma_{i,q}$ $(i \geq 1,\ q \in Q)$ is called the labeling of a state q in the i-th transition of the automaton A.

Email address: adam.woryna@polsl.pl (Adam Woryna)

It is convenient to interpret an automaton $A = (X, Q, \varphi, \psi)$ as a machine, which being at any moment $i \geq 1$ in a state $q \in Q$ and reading from the input tape a letter $x \in X_i$, types on the output tape the letter $\psi_i(q, x)$, goes to the state $\varphi_i(q, x)$ and moves both tapes to the next position. This interpretation naturally associates with each state $q \in Q$ the transformation $A_q \colon X^* \to X^*$ of the tree X^* of finite words over the changing alphabet $X = (X_i)_{i \geq 1}$. The tree X^* consists of finite sequences $x_1 x_2 \dots x_t$ $(t \geq 1)$ such that $x_i \in X_i$ for every $1 \leq i \leq t$ (we also assume the empty word denoted by ϵ); if the sequence $(|X_i|)_{i \geq 1}$ is constant, then we obtain a so-called regular rooted tree. The mapping $A_q \colon X^* \to X^*$ is defined as follows: $A_q(\epsilon) := \epsilon$ and

$$A_q(x_1 x_2 \dots x_t) := \psi_1(q_1, x_1)\psi_2(q_2, x_2) \dots \psi_t(q_t, x_t),$$

where the states $q_i \in Q$ are defined recursively $q_1 := q$ and $q_{i+1} := \varphi_i(q_i, x_i)$ for $1 \leq i < t$.

If an automaton $A = (X, Q, \varphi, \psi)$ is invertible, then the inverse automaton $A^{-1} := (X, Q, \varphi', \psi')$ is defined as follows:

$$\varphi'_i(q, x) := \varphi_i(q, \sigma_{i,q}^{-1}(x)), \quad \psi'_i(q, x) := \sigma_{i,q}^{-1}(x)$$

for all $i \geq 1$, $q \in Q$ and $x \in X_i$. In this case the mappings A_q and A_q^{-1} $(q \in Q)$ are mutually inverse automorphisms of the tree X^*; that is, they are mutually inverse permutations of the set X^* preserving the empty word and the vertex adjacency (we assume that two words are adjacent if one of them arises from the second by deleting the last letter). In particular, these permutations preserve the lengths and the common beginnings of words. We then refer to the group generated by the permutations A_q for $q \in Q$ (with the composition of mappings as a product) as the group generated by the automaton A and denote it by $G(A)$, i.e., we define

$$G(A) := \langle A_q \colon q \in Q \rangle.$$

Each group of the form $G(A)$ is finitely generated; and it is an example of a residually finite group (as the whole group $Aut(X^*)$ is residually finite – see [5]).

The potential for Mealy-type transducers was discovered in group theory about fifty years ago, when realized that quite simple formulae describing the transition and output functions in an automaton A may result in some exotic properties of the group $G(A)$. The flagship example is the famous Grigorchuk group generated by a five-state Mealy automaton over the binary alphabet (for more on interesting properties of groups generated by Mealy automata see the survey paper [5] or the monograph [11]). The notion of an automaton over a changing alphabet was introduced in [16], where we obtained a useful combinatorial tool to define and study automorphism groups of a spherically homogeneous rooted tree, which is not necessarily a regular rooted tree.

An important problem in the theory of groups generated by transducers is to verify which finitely generated abstract groups G can be realized as groups of the form $G(A)$, as well as to find simple and applicable formulae describing the corresponding automaton A. In particular, the problem of finding an explicit

realization of a non-abelian free group turned out to be far from trivial. It was solved by Glasner and Mozes ([3]) in 2005, but even in 80s of the last century it was conjectured that the so-called Aleshin-Vorobets automaton generates a non-abelian free group of rank three, which M. Vorobets and Ya. Vorobets finally confirmed in [13].

The question on the existence of a 2-state automaton over a bounded changing alphabet which generates a non-abelian free group is still open. In particular, we do not know if there is a 2-state Mealy automaton generating $\mathcal{F}_2$ (non-abelian free group of rank two). Indeed, in all known realizations of non-abelian free groups by Mealy automata the generating automata have more than two states ([3, 11, 12, 14]). Apart from Mealy automata, there are two various realizations of $\mathcal{F}_2$ by a 2-state automaton over an unbounded changing alphabet (see Examples 1–2 in the next section). It turns out that all these transducers are bi-reversible.

2. Bi-reversible automata over a changing alphabet

The concept of reversibility and bi-reversibility for Mealy automata was introduced by Macedońska, Nekrashevych and Sushchanskyy ([7]), who found a connection between the group of automorphisms defined by bi-reversible automata over a finite alphabet X and the commensurator of the non-abelian free group $\mathcal{F}_{|X|}$. Further development was due to Glasner and Mozes ([3]), who associate with each bi-reversible Mealy automaton a square complex and its universal covering. This allowed to construct the first examples of Mealy automata generating non-abelian free groups.

Let us recall that a Mealy automaton $A = (X, Q, \varphi, \psi)$ is reversible if every letter $x \in X$ acts (via the transition function φ) like a permutation of the set of states, i.e. for each $x \in X$ the mapping $q \mapsto \varphi(q, x)$ $(q \in Q)$ defines a permutation of the set Q. If A is invertible and both the automata A and A^{-1} are reversible, then A is called bi-reversible.

Recently, there has been a lot of interest in this kind of Mealy automata. For example, Bondarenko, D'Angeli and Rodaro ([1]) constructed a bi-reversible 3-state Mealy automaton over the ternary alphabet $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ which generates the lamplighter group $\mathbb{Z}_3 \wr \mathbb{Z}$, providing the first example of a not finitely presented group generated by a bi-reversible Mealy automaton. In [6] Klimann dealt with the semigroups generated by 2-state reversible Mealy automata and showed that every such a semigroup is either finite or free. In [4] Godin and Klimann referred to the well-known Burnside problem and proved that connected reversible Mealy automata with a prime number of states can not generate infinite torsion groups. D'Angeli and Rodaro ([2]) associated with each bi-reversible Mealy automaton A an automaton $(\partial A)^-$, which they called the enriched dual of A, and next, they showed how the boundary dynamics of the semigroup generated by $(\partial A)^-$ characterizes the algebraic properties (in particular, the property of being not free) of the group $G(A)$.

It turns out that the notion of reversibility and bi-reversibility can be naturally extended to automata over a changing alphabet.

Definition 1. We call an automaton $A = (X, Q, \varphi, \psi)$ over a changing alphabet $X = (X_i)_{i\geq 1}$ reversible if for every $i \geq 1$ and every letter $x \in X_i$ the mapping $q \mapsto \varphi_i(q, x)$ $(q \in Q)$ defines a permutation of the set Q. If A is invertible and both A and A^{-1} are reversible automata, then we call the automaton A bi-reversible.

An obvious example of bi-reversible automata are the invertible automata $A = (X, Q, \varphi, \psi)$ in which the transition functions are defined in the diagonal way, i.e. the equality $\varphi_i(q, x) = q$ holds for all $i \geq 1$, $q \in Q$ and $x \in X_i$. We showed in [19] that the automata of diagonal type provide a universal construction for all finitely generated residually finite groups.

Theorem 1. *([19], Theorem 1) For any unbounded changing alphabet $X = (X_i)_{i\geq 1}$ and for any finitely generated residually-finite group G with an arbitrary finite generating set S, there is an invertible automaton $A = (X, S, \varphi, \psi)$ of diagonal type such that the group $G(A)$ generated by this automaton is isomorphic to G.*

In the case of a bounded changing alphabet $X = (X_i)_{i\geq 1}$ any invertible and diagonally defined automaton A over X generates a finite group. Indeed, if $A = (X, Q, \varphi, \psi)$ is of diagonal type and $\sigma_{i,q} \in Sym(X_i)$ is the labeling of the state $q \in Q$ in the i-th transition $(i \geq 1)$ of A, then the mapping $A_q \mapsto (\sigma_{i,q})_{i\geq 1}$ $(q \in Q)$ induces an embedding of $G(A)$ into the Cartesian product $\prod_{i\geq 1} Sym(X_i)$. In the case of a bounded changing alphabet this product embeds into an infinite direct power of a finite symmetric group, which is a locally finite group (see, for example, Lemma 1 in [10]). Since $G(A)$ is finitely generated, it must be a finite group in this case.

The automaton A from Theorem 1 can be constructed in such a way that the following self-similarity property holds (see also [19]): for each $i \geq 0$ the mapping $A_q \mapsto A_q^{(i)}$ $(q \in Q)$ induces an isomorphism $G(A) \simeq G(A^{(i)})$, where $A^{(i)}$ denotes the i-shift of A, i.e. the automaton $(X^{(i)}, Q, \varphi^{(i)}, \psi^{(i)})$ such that $X^{(i)} := (X_j)_{j>i}$, $\varphi^{(i)} := (\varphi_j)_{j>i}$ and $\psi^{(i)} := (\psi_j)_{j>i}$. Nevertheless, the problem with the diagonal realization from Theorem 1 is that its existence can be easily deduced from the assumption that the group G is residually finite. This implies that the formula for the output functions in this realization is highly nonconstructive (see the proof of Theorem 1 in [19]).

Example 1. In [17], we discovered a more explicit realization of $\mathcal{F}_2$ by an automaton A of diagonal type with the 2-element set $Q := \{q_1, q_2\}$ of states, which works over an arbitrary, unbounded changing alphabet $X = (X_i)_{i\geq 1}$. To this end, in the set of freely reduced group words in the symbols a and b, we provided a lexicographic order $\prec$ induced by

$$\textit{the empty word} \prec a \prec a^{-1} \prec b \prec b^{-1}.$$

Next, we constructed two permutations of the set $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \ldots\}$, also denoted by a and b, with the following property: if $W := W(a, b)$ is the group word in the

n-th position ($n \geq 1$) in the above ordering, then the permutation $W\colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ maps 1 into n. Unfortunately, the formulae for a and b turned out to be quite complicated, as we obtained:

$$a(n) := \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if} \quad n = 1, \\ n + 4 \cdot 3^k, & \text{if} \quad 2 \cdot 3^k \leq n < 3^{k+1}, \ \ k \geq 0, \\ n - 2 \cdot 3^k, & \text{if} \quad 3^{k+1} \leq n < 4 \cdot 3^k, \ \ k \geq 0, \\ n + 3^{k+1}, & \text{if} \quad 4 \cdot 3^k \leq n < 2 \cdot 3^{k+1}, \ \ k \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

$$b(n) := \begin{cases} 4, & \text{if} \quad n = 1, \\ n + 10 \cdot 3^k, & \text{if} \quad 2 \cdot 3^k \leq n < 5 \cdot 3^k, \ \ k \geq 0, \\ n - \lfloor 13 \cdot 3^{k-1} \rfloor, & \text{if} \quad 5 \cdot 3^k \leq n < 17 \cdot 3^{k-1}, \ \ k \geq 0, \\ n - 4 \cdot 3^k, & \text{if} \quad 17 \cdot 3^{k-1} \leq n < 2 \cdot 3^{k+1}, \ \ k \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Now, if we assume that $X_i := \{1, \ldots, r_i\}$ for some $r_i \geq 1$ ($i \geq 1$), then the output functions in the automaton A can be defined as follows:

$$\psi_i(q_1, x) := \begin{cases} a(x), & \text{if } x \in a^{-1}(X_i), \\ a_i(x), & \text{if } x \notin a^{-1}(X_i), \end{cases} \quad \psi_i(q_2, x) := \begin{cases} b(x), & \text{if } x \in b^{-1}(X_i), \\ b_i(x), & \text{if } x \notin b^{-1}(X_i), \end{cases}$$

where a_i, b_i ($i \geq 1$) are any bijections of the form:

$$a_i\colon X_i \setminus a^{-1}(X_i) \to X_i \setminus a(X_i), \qquad b_i(x)\colon X_i \setminus b^{-1}(X_i) \to X_i \setminus b(X_i).$$

In this way, we obtained a 2-state bi-reversible automaton A of diagonal type for which $G(A) \simeq \mathcal{F}_2$ (in [17], we proved this isomorphism in the case $X_i = \{1, \ldots, i\}$ but the proof works in the general case).

It would be interesting to simplify the output functions from Example 1 and obtain a realization of the group $\mathcal{F}_2$ by a 2-state automaton of diagonal type. Such a simplification is justified by the fact that for any infinite collection $\mathcal{C}$ of non-abelian finite simple groups the free group $\mathcal{F}_2$ is residually $\mathcal{C}$ ([15]). Hence, it follows that for every infinite sequence $(G_i)_{i \geq 1}$ of non-abelian finite simple groups with the unbounded sequence $(|G_i|)_{i \geq 1}$ of their orders it is possible to choose the 2-element generating sets $\{\alpha_i, \beta_i\}$ of G_i ($i \geq 1$) such that the sequences $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i \geq 1}$ and $\beta = (\beta_i)_{i \geq 1}$ generate in the Cartesian product $\prod_{i \geq 1} G_i$ a group isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_2$. Nevertheless, there is not known (according to our knowledge) any explicit construction of such generating sets.

Example 2. Let $Y = (Y_i)_{i \geq 1}$ be an arbitrary changing alphabet such that $|Y_i| \geq 2$ for every $i \geq 1$. For each $i \geq 1$ let us choose two letters $x_i^0, x_i^1 \in Y_i$ and let $\tau_i, \pi_i \in Sym(Y_i)$ be two permutations of the set Y_i such that τ_i is an arbitrary transposition and π_i is an arbitrary long cycle satisfying $\tau_i(x_i^0) = \pi_i(x_i^0) = x_i^1$. In particular, the 2-element set $\{\tau_i, \pi_i\}$ constitutes a standard generating set of the symmetric group $Sym(Y_i)$. Let $A = (Y, Q, \varphi, \psi)$ be an automaton in which $Q := \{q_1, q_2\}$ and the transition and output functions are defined as follows:

$$\varphi_i(q, x) = \begin{cases} q_2, & \text{if} \quad x = x_i^0, \ q = q_1, \\ q_1, & \text{if} \quad x = x_i^0, \ q = q_2, \\ q, & \text{if} \quad x \neq x_i^0, \end{cases} \qquad \psi_i(q, x) = \begin{cases} \pi_i(x), & \text{if} \quad q = q_1, \\ \tau_i(x), & \text{if} \quad q = q_2. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, the automaton A is invertible and in the inverse automaton $A^{-1} = (Y, Q, \varphi', \psi')$, we have:

$$\varphi'_i(q,x) = \begin{cases} q_2, & \text{if} \quad x = x_i^1,\ q = q_1, \\ q_1, & \text{if} \quad x = x_i^1,\ q = q_2, \\ q, & \text{if} \quad x \neq x_i^1, \end{cases} \qquad \psi'_i(q,x) = \begin{cases} \pi_i^{-1}(x), & \text{if} \quad q = q_1, \\ \tau_i^{-1}(x), & \text{if} \quad q = q_2. \end{cases}$$

In particular, the automaton A is bi-reversible. In [18], we have shown that if the changing alphabet $Y = (Y_i)_{i\geq 1}$ is unbounded and the sequence $(|Y_i|)_{i\geq 1}$ is non-decreasing, then the group $G(A) = \langle A_{q_1}, A_{q_2} \rangle$ is a non-abelian free group of rank 2 generated freely by the automorphisms A_{q_1} and A_{q_2}. We believe the requirement that the sequence $(|Y_i|)_{i\geq 1}$ is non-decreasing is unnecessary, but in [18], we used it to prove the main result (see the proof of Proposition 7 and the proof of Theorem 1 on p. 6431 therein).

Comparing the above two automaton realizations of the group $\mathcal{F}_2$, it seems that the one from Example 2 is more handy to study the geometric action of $\mathcal{F}_2$ on the corresponding tree. We now use this realization to show that the action may be level-transitive (i.e. any two words of equal length belong to the same orbit).

Theorem 2. *Let $Y = (Y_i)_{i\geq 1}$ be a changing alphabet such that $|Y_i| \geq 3$ for every $i \geq 1$ and the inequality $i \neq j$ implies* $\mathrm{GCD}(|Y_i|-1, |Y_j|-1) = 1$. *Then the group $G(A)$ generated by the automaton A from Example 2 acts level-transitively on the tree Y^*.*

Proof. Let us denote

$$a_i := A_{q_1}^{(i-1)},\ b_i := A_{q_2}^{(i-1)},\ c_i := a_i b_i^{-1}, \quad i \geq 1,$$

where $A^{(i)} = (Y^{(i)}, Q, \varphi^{(i)}, \psi^{(i)})$ $(i \geq 0)$ is the i-shift of A. For all $i \geq 1$, $x \in Y_i$ and $w \in (Y^{(i)})^*$ we obtain by the definition of A the following recursions:

$$a_i(xw) = \begin{cases} \pi_i(x) b_{i+1}(w), & \text{if } x = x_i^0, \\ \pi_i(x) a_{i+1}(w), & \text{if } x \neq x_i^0, \end{cases} \quad b_i(xw) = \begin{cases} \tau_i(x) a_{i+1}(w), & \text{if } x = x_i^0, \\ \tau_i(x) b_{i+1}(w), & \text{if } x \neq x_i^0. \end{cases}$$

Consequently, we have

$$b_i^{-1}(xw) = \begin{cases} \tau_i(x) a_{i+1}^{-1}(w), & \text{if } x = x_i^1, \\ \tau_i(x) b_{i+1}^{-1}(w), & \text{if } x \neq x_i^1, \end{cases}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} c_i(xw) = a_i b_i^{-1}(xw) &= \begin{cases} a_i(\tau_i(x) a_{i+1}^{-1}(w)), & \text{if } x = x_i^1 \\ a_i(\tau_i(x) b_{i+1}^{-1}(w)), & \text{if } x \neq x_i^1 \end{cases} = \\ &= \begin{cases} \sigma_i(x) c_{i+1}^{-1}(w), & \text{if } x = x_i^1, \\ \sigma_i(x) c_{i+1}(w), & \text{if } x \neq x_i^1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_i := \pi_i \tau_i^{-1}$ for every $i \geq 1$. The permutation $\sigma_i \in Sym(Y_i)$ is a cycle of length $|Y_i| - 1$ and $x_i^1 \in Y_i$ is the only letter which is fixed by this permutation. Thus, we have $\sigma_i(x_i^1) = x_i^1$ and for every $x \in Y_i \setminus \{x_i^1\}$ and every integer N the equality $\sigma_i^N(x) = x$ implies the divisibility $|Y_i| - 1 \mid N$. In particular, for all $i \geq 1$, $x \in Y_i$, $w \in (Y^{(i)})^*$ and every integer N, we obtain the following formula:

$$c_i^N(xw) = \begin{cases} x c_{i+1}^{-N}(w), & \text{if } x = x_i^1, \\ \sigma_i^N(x) c_{i+1}^N(w), & \text{if } x \neq x_i^1. \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

Let $v = y_1 y_2 \dots y_t \in Y^*$ be an arbitrary word. It is enough to show that there is $g \in G(A) = \langle a_1, b_1 \rangle$ such that $v = g(w)$, where $w := x_1^1 x_2^1 \dots x_t^1$. If we denote $w_1 := b_1(w)$, then by the recursion for b_i, we have $w_1 = x_1^0 x_2^0 \dots x_t^0$ and hence, by the recursion (1), we obtain:

$$c_1^N(w_1) = \sigma_1^N(x_1^0)\sigma_2^N(x_2^0) \dots \sigma_t^N(x_t^0), \quad N \geq 1.$$

Let us denote

$$I := \{1 \leq i \leq t \colon y_i = x_i^1\}, \quad I' := \{1, 2, \dots, t\} \setminus I.$$

Since for each $1 \leq i \leq t$ we have $|Y_i| \geq 3$ and for all $1 \leq i, j \leq t$ the inequality $i \neq j$ implies that the numbers $|Y_i| - 1$ and $|Y_j| - 1$ are coprime, we obtain by the Chinese Remainder Theorem that there is $N_0 \geq 1$ such that $\sigma_i^{N_0}(x_i^0) = x_i^0$ for every $i \in I$ and $\sigma_i^{N_0}(x_i^0) \notin \{x_i^0, x_i^1\}$ for every $i \in I'$. Thus, if we denote

$$w_2 := c_1^{N_0}(w_1) = \sigma_1^{N_0}(x_1^0)\sigma_2^{N_0}(x_2^0) \dots \sigma_t^{N_0}(x_t^0),$$

then by the inequalities $\sigma_i^{N_0}(x_i^0) \neq x_i^1$ $(1 \leq i \leq t)$ and by the recursion for b_i^{-1}, we obtain: $b_1^{-1}(w_2) = z_1 z_2 \dots z_t$, where $z_i := \tau_i \sigma^{N_0}(x_i^0)$ for every $1 \leq i \leq t$. Hence, we obtain: $z_i = x_i^1$ for every $i \in I$ and $z_i \neq x_i^1$ for every $i \in I'$. Next, for every integer N, we obtain by (1):

$$c_1^N b_1^{-1}(w_2) = c_1^N(z_1 z_2 \dots z_t) = \sigma_1^{E_{N,1}}(z_1)\sigma_2^{E_{N,2}}(z_2) \dots \sigma_t^{E_{N,t}}(z_t),$$

where for every $1 \leq i \leq t$ we have: $E_{N,i} = N$ or $E_{N,i} = -N$ depending on the parity of the number of elements of the set $I \cap \{1, \dots, i\}$. Note that for each $i \in I$ we have: $\sigma_i^{E_{N,i}}(z_i) = \sigma_i^{E_{N,i}}(x_i^1) = x_i^1 = y_i$. Since for every $i \in I'$ we have: $z_i \neq x_i^1$ and $y_i \neq x_i^1$, we can use again our assumption and the Chinese Remainder Theorem to obtain that there is $N_1 \geq 1$ such that $\sigma_i^{E_{N_1,i}}(z_i) = y_i$ for every $i \in I'$. Hence, if we denote

$$w_3 := c_1^{N_1} b_1^{-1}(w_2) = \sigma_1^{E_{N_1,1}}(z_1)\sigma_2^{E_{N_1,2}}(z_2) \dots \sigma_t^{E_{N_1,t}}(z_t),$$

then we have $w_3 = y_1 y_2 \dots y_t = v$. Thus for the element $g := c_1^{N_1} b_1^{-1} c_1^{N_0} b_1 \in G(A)$, we obtain $v = g(w)$. □

Remark 1. Note that if $|Y_1| = |Y_2| = 2$, then the action of $G(A)$ is not level-transitively, as the restriction of this action to the subtree $Y_1 \times Y_2 \subseteq Y^*$ coincides with the action of the cyclic group of order two.

One could naturally ask what happens, if we set the transparent output functions from Example 2 to a 2-state automaton of diagonal type. If A is such an automaton and for every $i \geq 2$ we take in the symmetric group $Sym(i)$ of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, i\}$ the transposition $\tau_i := (1, 2)$ and the long cycle $\pi_i := (1, 2, \ldots, i)$, then there exists a subset $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that the group $G(A)$ is isomorphic to the group

$$G_I := \langle \tau_I, \pi_I \rangle \leq \prod_{i \in I} Sym(i),$$

where $\tau_I := (\tau_i)_{i \in I}$ and $\pi_I := (\pi_i)_{i \in I}$. The groups of the form G_I ($I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$) were studied in [8, 9, 16]. By Example 4.1 in [8], it follows that all these groups are amenable, and hence we can not realize $\mathcal{F}_2$ in this way. However, the same reasoning as in [16] (see Section 5 therein) shows that if the set I is infinite (which corresponds to the case when the changing alphabet Y is unbounded), then the semigroup generated by τ_I and π_I is free. In particular, the group G_I is of exponential growth in this case. Further, it follows by Proposition 4.1 in [9] that if $I, I' \subseteq \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $I \neq I'$, then the groups G_I and $G_{I'}$ are not isomorphic. As a result, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *For an arbitrary unbounded changing alphabet $X = (X_i)_{i \geq 1}$ there are uncountably many pairwise non-isomorphic groups of the form $G(A)$, where A is a 2-state bi-reversible automaton of diagonal type over X.*

3. On generation of $\mathcal{F}_2$ by 2-state bi-reversible automata

In view of the above results, it is interesting to verify for which changing alphabets $X = (X_i)_{i \geq 1}$ there is a 2-state bi-reversible automaton over X which generates $\mathcal{F}_2$, as well as to provide for every such alphabet X an explicit and naturally defined realization of $\mathcal{F}_2$ by a suitable automaton over X. Here we give the solution to both of these problems.

Theorem 3. *Let $X = (X_i)_{i \geq 1}$ be an arbitrary changing alphabet. Then the free group $\mathcal{F}_2$ can be generated by a 2-state bi-reversible automaton over X if and only if X is unbounded. On the other hand, if X is bounded and $A = (X, \{q_1, q_2\}, \varphi, \psi)$ is an arbitrary 2-state bi-reversible automaton, then the element $A_{q_1}^{-1} A_{q_2} \in G(A)$ is of finite order, and, additionally, if this element is trivial (i.e. $A_{q_1} = A_{q_2}$), then the group $G(A)$ is finite. In particular, there is no 2-state bi-reversible Mealy automaton generating $\mathcal{F}_2$.*

Proof. Let $A = (X, Q, \varphi, \psi)$ be an arbitrary bi-reversible automaton with the 2-element set $Q := \{q_1, q_2\}$ of states. For each $i \geq 1$ let us define the subsets $Z_i, T_i \subseteq X_i$ as follows:

$$Z_i := \{x \in X_i \colon \varphi_i(q_1, x) = q_1\}, \quad T_i := \{x \in X_i \colon \varphi_i(q_1, x) = q_2\}. \tag{2}$$

Obviously, we have $Z_i \cup T_i = X_i$ and $Z_i \cap T_i = \emptyset$. Since A is reversible, we also have

$$Z_i = \{x \in X_i \colon \varphi_i(q_2, x) = q_2\}, \quad T_i = \{x \in X_i \colon \varphi_i(q_2, x) = q_1\}. \tag{3}$$

Let $A^{-1} = (X, Q, \varphi', \psi')$ be the inverse automaton of A. For every $i \geq 1$ let us denote

$$\alpha_i := \sigma_{i,q_1} \in Sym(X_i), \quad \beta_i := \sigma_{i,q_2} \in Sym(X_i).$$

Then by the definition of A^{-1} and by (2), we obtain for each $i \geq 1$ and each $x \in X_i$:

$$\varphi'_i(q_1, x) = \varphi_i(q_1, \sigma_{i,q_1}^{-1}(x)) = \varphi_i(q_1, \alpha_i^{-1}(x)) = \begin{cases} q_1, & \text{if } x \in \alpha_i(Z_i), \\ q_2, & \text{if } x \in \alpha_i(T_i). \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

Similarly, we obtain by (3)

$$\varphi'_i(q_2, x) = \begin{cases} q_2, & \text{if } x \in \beta_i(Z_i), \\ q_1, & \text{if } x \in \beta_i(T_i). \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

Since the automaton A^{-1} is reversible, we obtain by (4)–(5):

$$\alpha_i(Z_i) = \beta_i(Z_i), \quad \alpha_i(T_i) = \beta_i(T_i). \tag{6}$$

Let $A^{(i)} = (X^{(i)}, Q, \varphi^{(i)}, \psi^{(i)})$ $(i \geq 0)$ be the i-shift of the automaton A and let us denote:

$$a_i := A_{q_1}^{(i-1)}, \quad b_i := A_{q_2}^{(i-1)}, \quad i \geq 1.$$

For each $i \geq 1$, by the definition of the mappings $A_{q_1}^{(i-1)}$ and $A_{q_2}^{(i-1)}$ and by the reversibility of the automaton $A^{(i-1)}$, we obtain for all $x \in X_i$ and $w \in (X^{(i)})^*$ the following recursions:

$$a_i(xw) = \begin{cases} \alpha_i(x)a_{i+1}(w), & \text{if } x \in Z_i, \\ \alpha_i(x)b_{i+1}(w), & \text{if } x \in T_i, \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

$$b_i(xw) = \begin{cases} \beta_i(x)b_{i+1}(w), & \text{if } x \in Z_i, \\ \beta_i(x)a_{i+1}(w), & \text{if } x \in T_i. \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

By the formulae (4)–(5), we can write:

$$a_i^{-1}(xw) = \begin{cases} \alpha_i^{-1}(x)a_{i+1}^{-1}(w), & \text{if } x \in \alpha_i(Z_i), \\ \alpha_i^{-1}(x)b_{i+1}^{-1}(w), & \text{if } x \in \alpha_i(T_i), \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

$$b_i^{-1}(xw) = \begin{cases} \beta_i^{-1}(x)b_{i+1}^{-1}(w), & \text{if } x \in \beta_i(Z_i), \\ \beta_i^{-1}(x)a_{i+1}^{-1}(w), & \text{if } x \in \beta_i(T_i). \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

Let us denote $c_i := a_i^{-1}b_i$ $(i \geq 1)$. Then by (8)–(9), we obtain for all $x \in X_i$ and $w \in (X^{(i)})^*$:

$$c_i(xw) = a_i^{-1}b_i(xw) = \begin{cases} a_i^{-1}(\beta_i(x)b_{i+1}(w)), & \text{if } x \in Z_i, \\ a_i^{-1}(\beta_i(x)a_{i+1}(w)), & \text{if } x \in T_i. \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

By (6), the condition $x \in Z_i$ can be equivalently written as $\beta_i(x) \in \alpha_i(Z_i)$. Similarly, we have: $x \in T_i$ if and only if $\beta_i(x) \in \alpha_i(T_i)$. Hence, we obtain by (9) and (11):

$$c_i(xw) = \begin{cases} \alpha_i^{-1}\beta_i(x)a_{i+1}^{-1}b_{i+1}(w), & \text{if } x \in Z_i, \\ \alpha_i^{-1}\beta_i(x)b_{i+1}^{-1}a_{i+1}(w), & \text{if } x \in T_i. \end{cases}$$

If we now denote $\gamma_i := \alpha_i^{-1}\beta_i \in Sym(X_i)$, then we can write:

$$c_i(xw) = \begin{cases} \gamma_i(x)c_{i+1}(w), & \text{if } x \in Z_i, \\ \gamma_i(x)c_{i+1}^{-1}(w), & \text{if } x \in T_i. \end{cases}$$

By (6), we see that $\gamma_i(Z_i) = Z_i$ and $\gamma_i(T_i) = T_i$. Consequently, for any integer N we can write:

$$c_i^N(xw) = \begin{cases} \gamma_i^N(x)c_{i+1}^N(w), & \text{if } x \in Z_i, \\ \gamma_i^N(x)c_{i+1}^{-N}(w), & \text{if } x \in T_i. \end{cases}$$

In particular, for any word $w = x_1x_2\dots x_t \in X^*$ and any integer N, we obtain:

$$c_1^N(w) = \gamma_1^{N_1}(x_1)\gamma_2^{N_2}(x_2)\dots\gamma_t^{N_t}(x_t), \tag{12}$$

where $N_i \in \{-N, N\}$ for every $1 \le i \le t$. Assume now that the changing alphabet X is bounded. Then there is a positive integer N such that $\gamma_i^N = \gamma_i^{-N} = id_{X_i}$ for every $i \ge 1$ (suffice it to take $N = r!$, where $r := \sup_{i\ge1}|X_i|$). Hence the element $c_1 = a_1^{-1}b_1 = A_{q_1}^{-1}A_{q_2}$ is of finite order in the group $G(A) = \langle a_1, b_1\rangle$. Moreover, if the element c_1 is trivial, then taking $N = 1$ in (12), we obtain: $N_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ for $1 \le i \le t$, and hence $\gamma_i = id_{X_i}$ for every $i \ge 1$, which implies $\alpha_i = \beta_i$ for $i \ge 1$. Consequently, by (7)–(8), we have in this case: $a_i = b_i$ for all $i \ge 1$. In particular, for every word $w = x_1x_2\dots x_t \in X^*$, we obtain $a_1(w) = \alpha_1(x_1)\alpha_2(x_2)\dots\alpha_t(x_t)$. Since X is bounded, the last equality implies that the generator a_1 is of finite order in this case. Summarizing, if X is bounded, then $G(A)$ can not be a non-trivial torsion-free group, and hence $G(A)$ can not be isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_2$. Conversely, if X is unbounded, then the existence of a 2-state bi-reversible automaton over X which generates $\mathcal{F}_2$ directly follows from the existence of the corresponding diagonal construction – see Theorem 1 (see also Examples 1–2 in Section 2). □

Let $X = (X_i)_{i\ge1}$ be an arbitrary unbounded changing alphabet. By using the construction of the automaton A from Example 2 (see Section 2), we may provide an explicit construction of a 2-state bi-reversible automaton over X which generates $\mathcal{F}_2$. To this end, we choose a strictly increasing sequence $\xi := (\xi_i)_{i\ge1}$ of natural numbers such that the changing alphabet $Y = (Y_i)_{i\ge1}$ with $Y_i := X_{\xi_i}$ is unbounded and the sequence $(|Y_i|)_{i\ge1}$ is non-decreasing and satisfies: $|Y_i| \ge 2$ for every $i \ge 1$. Let $A = (Y, \{q_1, q_2\}, \varphi, \psi)$ be the automaton from Example 2 and let $B = (X, \{q_1, q_2\}, \varphi', \psi')$ be an automaton which arises from A as follows (below we denote $\mathrm{Im}(\xi) := \{\xi_i : i \ge 1\}$ and if $i \in \mathrm{Im}(\xi)$, then by i' we denote a unique $j \ge 1$ such that $\xi_j = i$):

$$\varphi_i'(q,x) := \begin{cases} \varphi_{i'}(q,x), & \text{if } i \in \mathrm{Im}(\xi), \\ q, & \text{if } i \notin \mathrm{Im}(\xi), \end{cases} \quad \psi_i'(q,x) := \begin{cases} \psi_{i'}(q,x), & \text{if } i \in \mathrm{Im}(\xi), \\ x, & \text{if } i \notin \mathrm{Im}(\xi) \end{cases}$$

for all $q \in \{q_1, q_2\}$, $i \ge 1$ and $x \in X_i$. Since the automaton A is bi-reversible, the automaton B is also bi-reversible.

Theorem 4. $G(B) \simeq \mathcal{F}_2$.

PROOF. Let us choose an arbitrary word $w \in X^*$. Then we can uniquely choose the number $l \geq 0$ and the letters $y_i \in Y_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq l)$ such that $w = v_1 y_1 \dots v_l y_l v_{l+1}$, where the sequences v_i $(1 \leq i \leq l)$ satisfy: $|v_i| = \xi_i - \xi_{i-1} - 1$ (we assume $\xi_0 = 0$). By the definition of the automaton B and the definition of the inverse automaton, we see that the actions of B (resp. of B^{-1}) on the sequences v_i $(1 \leq i \leq l+1)$ are trivial and B (resp. B^{-1}) does not change its state when reading each of these sequences, whereas the actions of B (resp. of B^{-1}) on the letters y_i $(1 \leq i \leq l)$ coincide with the corresponding actions of the automaton A (resp. of the automaton A^{-1}). Thus, if we denote $\widetilde{w} := y_1 \dots y_l$ and if for some $q \in Q$ and $\eta \in \{-1, 1\}$ we have $A_q^\eta(\widetilde{w}) = y'_1 \dots y'_l$, where $y'_i \in Y_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq l)$, then $B_q^\eta(w) = v_1 y'_1 \dots v_l y'_l v_{l+1}$. Consequently, for any sequences $(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \in Q^m$ and $(\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_m) \in \{-1, 1\}^m$ $(m \geq 1)$ and for any letters $y'_i \in Y_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq l)$ we obtain the following implication:

$$A_{q_1}^{\eta_1} A_{q_2}^{\eta_2} \dots A_{q_m}^{\eta_m}(\widetilde{w}) = y'_1 y'_2 \dots y'_l \Rightarrow B_{q_1}^{\eta_1} B_{q_2}^{\eta_2} \dots B_{q_m}^{\eta_m}(w) = v_1 y'_1 \dots v_l y'_l v_{l+1}.$$

Thus, since the mapping $X^* \ni w \mapsto \widetilde{w} \in Y^*$ is surjective, we obtain:

$$A_{q_1}^{\eta_1} A_{q_2}^{\eta_2} \dots A_{q_m}^{\eta_m} = id_{Y^*} \Leftrightarrow B_{q_1}^{\eta_1} B_{q_2}^{\eta_2} \dots B_{q_m}^{\eta_m} = id_{X^*}$$

Hence, $B_q \mapsto A_q$ $(q \in Q)$ induces the isomorphisms $G(B) \simeq G(A) \simeq \mathcal{F}_2$. □

4. The classification in the case of the sequence of binary alphabets

In this section, we completely characterize the class $BIR_{2,2}$ of all groups generated by a 2-state bi-reversible automaton over the sequence of binary alphabets. We show that $BIR_{2,2}$ consists exactly of five groups, and only three of them can be generated by a 2-state bi-reversible Mealy automaton over the binary alphabet.

Theorem 5. *The class $BIR_{2,2}$ of all groups generated by a 2-state bi-reversible automaton over the sequence of binary alphabets consists of five groups: the trivial group $\{id\}$, the cyclic group of order two $\mathbb{Z}_2$, the Klein group $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, the cyclic group of order four $\mathbb{Z}_4$ and the direct product $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$. Only the first three groups belong to the subclass $BIRM_{2,2} \subseteq BIR_{2,2}$ of groups generated by a 2-state bi-reversible Mealy automaton over the binary alphabet.*

PROOF. The second part directly follows from the well-known classification of the groups generated by a 2-state Mealy automaton over the binary alphabet (the corresponding constructions are described in Section 4.1 in [5] by the popular language of wreath recursions). For the first part, let us consider an arbitrary 2-state bi-reversible automaton $A = (X, \{q_1, q_2\}, \varphi, \psi)$ over the changing alphabet $X = (X_i)_{i \geq 1}$ in which $X_i := \{0, 1\}$ for every $i \geq 1$. For each $i \geq 0$ let $A^{(i)} = (X, Q, \varphi^{(i)}, \psi^{(i)})$ be the i-shift of A. Let us denote

$$a_i := A_{q_1}^{(i-1)}, \quad b_i := A_{q_2}^{(i-1)}, \quad i \geq 1.$$

Lemma 1. *For every $i \geq 1$ and every word $w \in X^*$ the following equalities hold:*

$$a_i b_i(w) = b_i a_i(w), \quad a_i^2(w) = b_i^2(w), \quad a_i^4(w) = b_i^4(w) = w.$$

Proof (of Lemma 1). We use induction on the length of w. Let us assume that the claim holds for all $i \geq 1$ and for all words $w \in X^*$ with the length $|w| = t$ for some fixed $t \geq 0$. Let us fix $i \geq 1$. By the bi-reversibility of A, we see that there are $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in Sym(\{0,1\})$ and $c, d \in \{a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}\}$ such that $(c,d) \in \{(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}), (b_{i+1}, a_{i+1})\}$ and for every $x \in \{0,1\}$ and $w \in X^*$ one of the following three cases holds:

$$a_i(xw) = \pi_1(x)c(w), \quad b_i(xw) = \pi_2(x)d(w),$$

or

$$a_i(xw) = \begin{cases} 0c(w), & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 1d(w), & \text{if } x = 1, \end{cases} \qquad b_i(xw) = \begin{cases} 0d(w), & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 1c(w), & \text{if } x = 1, \end{cases}$$

or

$$a_i(xw) = \begin{cases} 1c(w), & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 0d(w), & \text{if } x = 1, \end{cases} \qquad b_i(xw) = \begin{cases} 1d(w), & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 0c(w), & \text{if } x = 1. \end{cases}$$

If we denote $C := cd$ and $D := dc$, then we obtain in the first case:

$$a_i b_i(xw) = \pi_1\pi_2(x)C(w), \quad b_i a_i(xw) = \pi_1\pi_2(x)D(w),$$
$$a_i^2(xw) = xc^2(w), \quad b_i^2(xw) = xd^2(w),$$
$$a_i^4(xw) = xc^4(w), \quad b_i^4(xw) = xd^4(w).$$

In the second case, we obtain

$$a_i b_i(xw) = \begin{cases} 0C(w), & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 1D(w), & \text{if } x = 1, \end{cases} \quad b_i a_i(xw) = \begin{cases} 0D(w), & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 1C(w), & \text{if } x = 1, \end{cases}$$
$$a_i^2(xw) = \begin{cases} 0c^2(w), & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 1d^2(w), & \text{if } x = 1, \end{cases} \quad b_i^2(xw) = \begin{cases} 0d^2(w), & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 1c^2(w), & \text{if } x = 1, \end{cases}$$
$$a_i^4(xw) = \begin{cases} 0c^4(w), & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 1d^4(w), & \text{if } x = 1, \end{cases} \quad b_i^4(xw) = \begin{cases} 0d^4(w), & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 1c^4(w), & \text{if } x = 1. \end{cases}$$

In the third case, we obtain:

$$a_i b_i(xw) = \begin{cases} 0d^2(w), & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 1c^2(w), & \text{if } x = 1, \end{cases} \quad b_i a_i(xw) = \begin{cases} 0c^2(w), & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 1d^2(w), & \text{if } x = 1, \end{cases}$$
$$a_i^2(xw) = \begin{cases} 0D(w), & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 1C(w), & \text{if } x = 1, \end{cases} \quad b_i^2(xw) = \begin{cases} 0C(w), & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 1D(w), & \text{if } x = 1, \end{cases}$$
$$a_i^4(xw) = \begin{cases} 0D^2(w), & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 1C^2(w), & \text{if } x = 1, \end{cases} \quad b_i^4(xw) = \begin{cases} 0C^2(w), & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 1D^2(w), & \text{if } x = 1. \end{cases}$$

By the inductive assumption, since $(c,d) \in \{(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}), (b_{i+1}, a_{i+1})\}$, we obtain for every word $w \in X^*$ of length t: $C(w) = D(w)$, $c^2(w) = d^2(w)$, $c^4(w) =$

$d^4(w) = w$. Consequently, since $|c(w)| = |w| = t$, we obtain $C^2(w) = CD(w) = cddc(w) = cd^2(c(w)) = cc^2(c(w)) = c^4(w) = w$. Similarly $D^2(w) = w$. Thus in each case, we have

$$a_ib_i(xw) = b_ia_i(xw), \quad a_i^2(xw) = b_i^2(xw), \quad a_i^4(xw) = b_i^4(xw) = xw.$$

An inductive argument finishes the proof of Lemma 1. □

By Lemma 1, we obtain that the group $G(A) = \langle a_1, b_1\rangle$ is abelian and the generators a_1, b_1 satisfy: $a_1^2 = b_1^2$ and $a_1^4 = b_1^4 = id$. Since the direct product $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ has the presentation $\langle a, b\colon a^4 = b^4 = 1, a^2 = b^2, ab = ba\rangle$, the group $G(A)$ is a quotient of this product. There are only five quotients of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$: the trivial group, $\mathbb{Z}_2$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\mathbb{Z}_4$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$. As we mentioned above, the first three groups were proved to be generated by a suitable Mealy automaton. We now construct the corresponding automata for the groups $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ and $\mathbb{Z}_4$.

Let $A = (X, \{q_1, q_2\}, \varphi, \psi)$ be an automaton in which the transition and the output functions are defined for all $i \geq 1$, $q \in Q$, $x \in \{0, 1\}$ as follows:

$$\varphi_i(q, x) := \begin{cases} \overline{q}, & \text{if } x = 1 \text{ and } 2 \nmid i, \\ q, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \qquad \psi_i(q, x) := \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } q = q_2 \text{ and } 2 \mid i, \\ \tau(x), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\tau \in Sym(\{0, 1\})$ is a transposition, $\overline{q}_1 := q_2$, $\overline{q}_2 := q_1$. It can be easily verified that the automaton A is bi-reversible and the mappings $a_i := A_{q_1}^{(i-1)}$, $b_i := A_{q_2}^{(i-1)}$ $(i \geq 1)$ satisfy for all $x \in \{0, 1\}$ and $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$ the following recursions: if i is odd, then

$$a_i(xw) = \begin{cases} 1a_{i+1}(w), \text{ if } x = 0, \\ 0b_{i+1}(w), \text{ if } x = 1, \end{cases} \quad b_i(xw) = \begin{cases} 1b_{i+1}(w), \text{ if } x = 0, \\ 0a_{i+1}(w), \text{ if } x = 1, \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

and if i is even, then

$$a_i(xw) = \tau(x)a_{i+1}(w), \quad b_i(xw) = xb_{i+1}(w). \tag{14}$$

By (14), we have $a_{2i} \neq b_{2i}$ $(i \geq 1)$, and hence, by (13), we obtain: $a_i \neq b_i$ for every $i \geq 1$. By (13)–(14) and by the relations $a_ib_i = b_ia_i$, $a_i^2 = b_i^2$ $(i \geq 1)$, we obtain:

$$a_{2i-1}b_{2i-1}(xw) = xa_{2i}^2(w), \quad a_{2i}b_{2i}(xw) = \tau(x)a_{2i+1}b_{2i+1}(w),$$
$$a_{2i}^2(xw) = xa_{2i+1}^2(w), \quad a_{2i-1}^2(xw) = xa_{2i}b_{2i}(w)$$

for all $i \geq 1$, $x \in \{0, 1\}$ and $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$. In particular $a_4b_4 \neq id$ and $a_2b_2 \neq id$. Hence $a_1^2 \neq id$ and $a_3^2 \neq id$, which implies $a_2^2 \neq id$. Consequently $a_1b_1 \neq id$. Next, since $a_1 \neq b_1$ and $a_1^4 = id$, we obtain:

$$a_1^2 \neq a_1b_1, \ a_1^2 \neq a_1^3b_1, \ a_1b_1 \neq a_1^3b_1, \ a_1^3b_1 \neq id.$$

Thus $S := \{id, a_1^2, a_1b_1, a_1^3b_1\}$ is a four-element subset of the group $G(A)$. Since each element in S fixes the first letter of any word and $a_1(0) = 1$, we have

$a_1 \notin S$, and hence the group $G(A) = \langle a_1,\ b_1\rangle$ contains at least five elements. Thus it must be $G(A) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$.

Finally, let $A' = (X, \{q_1, q_2\}, \varphi, \psi')$ be an automaton which arises from the automaton A by replacing the labelings $\sigma_{q,i}\colon x \mapsto \psi_i(q, x)$ with $q \in \{q_1, q_2\}$ and $i \geq 3$ by the trivial permutations. Obviously, the automaton A' is bi-reversible. If we now denote $a_i := A'^{(i-1)}_{q_1}$ and $b_i := A'^{(i-1)}_{q_2}$ $(i \geq 1)$, then we have: $a_i = b_i = id$ for $i \geq 3$. For all $x \in \{0, 1\}$ and $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$ we also have:

$$a_2(xw) = \tau(x)a_3(w) = \tau(x)w, \quad b_2(xw) = xb_3(w) = xw \tag{15}$$

and

$$a_1(xw) = \begin{cases} 1a_2(w), & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 0b_2(w), & \text{if } x = 1, \end{cases} \quad b_1(xw) = \begin{cases} 1b_2(w), & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 0a_2(w), & \text{if } x = 1. \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

By (15), we have: $a_2 \neq id$, $b_2 = id$, and hence, by (16), we obtain: $a_1^2(xw) = xa_2(w)$ for $x \in \{0, 1\}$, $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$. Thus $a_1^2 \neq id$, which means that a_1 is of order four in the group $G(A') = \langle a_1, b_1\rangle$. Since $a_2^2 = b_2^2 = id$, we obtain by (16): $a_1b_1(xw) = xw$ for all $x \in \{0, 1\}$ and $w \in \{0, 1\}^2$. Thus $b_1 = a_1^{-1}$ and $G(A') = \{id, a_1, a_1^2, a_1^3\}$. Consequently $G(A') \simeq \mathbb{Z}_4$. □

The situation changes if we consider the wider class $IR_{2,2}$ consisting of groups generated by a 2-state invertible-reversible automaton over the sequence of binary alphabets, as well as the class $BIR_{2,3}$ of groups generated by a 2-state bi-reversible automaton over the sequence of ternary alphabets $X_i = \{0, 1, 2\}$ $(i \geq 1)$. For the next result, we use some well-known constructions of Mealy automata to show that both these classes contain infinitely many pairwise non-isomorphic finite groups.

Theorem 6. *The class $IR_{2,2}$ of groups generated by a 2-state invertible-reversible automaton over the sequence of binary alphabets, as well as the class $BIR_{2,3}$ of groups generated by a 2-state bi-reversible automaton over the sequence of ternary alphabets contains infinitely many pairwise non-isomorphic finite groups.*

Proof. For every automaton $A = (X, Q, \varphi, \psi)$ and for every $k \geq 0$ let $A|^k := (X, Q, \varphi', \psi')$ be the k-th restriction of A, i.e. the automaton in which the transition and the output functions are defined as follows: $\varphi'_i = \varphi_i$, $\psi'_i = \psi_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $\varphi'_i(q, x) = q$, $\psi'_i(q, x) = x$ for $i > k$, $q \in Q$ and $x \in X_i$. Obviously, if A is invertible (resp. reversible, bi-reversible), then so is $A|^k$. For all $q \in Q$ and $k \geq 0$ we also have: if $w \in X^*$ is any word of length $|w| \geq k$ and $w = vu$ for some $v \in X^k$ and $u \in (X^{(k)})^*$, then $A|^k_q(w) = A|^k_q(vu) = A_q(v)u$. Thus the mapping $A|^k_q\colon X^* \to X^*$ can be identified with the restriction of A_q to the set X^k. In particular, if A is invertible, then all the groups $G(A|^k)$ $(k \geq 0)$ are finite and the mapping

$$A_q \mapsto (A|^k_q)_{k\geq 0}, \quad q \in Q,$$

induces an embedding of the group $G(A)$ into the Cartesian product $\prod_{k\geq 0} G(A|^k)$. If the group $G(A)$ is infinite, then there exist infinitely many pairwise non-isomorphic finite groups among the groups $G(A|^k)$ for $k \geq 0$. Otherwise, the product $\prod_{k\geq 0} G(A|^k)$ would be a locally finite group; and consequently the group $G(A)$ would be finite.

Let now A_1 be the 2-state invertible-reversible Mealy automaton over the binary alphabet which generates the lamplighter group $\mathbb{Z}_2 \wr \mathbb{Z}$ (for the exact definition of A_1 see Section 4.1 in [5]). Also, let A_2 be the 2-state bi-reversible Mealy automaton over the ternary alphabet which is the dual to the 3-state Bellaterra automaton (for the construction of A_2 and some properties of the group $G(A_2)$ see Section 1.10.3 in [11]). Our claim now follows from the well-known fact that both the groups $G(A_1)$ and $G(A_2)$ are infinite. □

Remark 2. Note that for all $n, d \geq 1$ the number of n-state invertible Mealy automata over a d-letter alphabet is finite. In particular, both the classes $IRM_{2,2} \subseteq IR_{2,2}$ and $BIRM_{2,3} \subseteq BIR_{2,3}$ of groups generated by the corresponding Mealy automata are finite. On the other hand, if $(n, d) \neq (1, 1)$, then there are uncountably many n-state invertible (resp. bi-reversible) automata over a d-letter alphabet. In [20], we completely characterize for every $n \geq 1$ all abelian groups from the class $I_{n,2}$ of groups generated by an n-state invertible automaton over the sequence of binary alphabets. In particular, we obtained that the free abelian groups $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}$ and the direct products $\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}_{2^m}$ with $m \geq 1$ belong to $I_{2,2}$. Hence $I_{2,2}$ contains infinitely many pairwise non-isomorphic infinite groups. However, the constructed automata are not reversible. We are interested in the following questions: is the class $I_{2,2}$ (resp. the subclass $IR_{2,2} \subseteq I_{2,2}$) countable or uncountable? Is there only finitely many infinite groups in $IR_{2,2}$? Which non-abelian groups belong to $IR_{2,2}$ (or to $I_{2,2}$)? In particular, is it true that $\mathcal{F}_2 \in I_{2,2}$?

References

[1] I.Bondarenko, D.D'Angeli, E. Rodaro. The lamplighter group $\mathbb{Z}_3 \wr \mathbb{Z}$ generated by a bireversible automaton. *Commun Algebra*, Vol. 44 (12), 5257—5268, 2016.

[2] D. D'Angeli, E. Rodaro. Freeness of automaton groups vs boundary dynamics. *Journal of Algebra* 462(2016) 115–136.

[3] Y. Glasner, S. Mozes. Automata and square complexes. *Geom. Dedicata* 111(2005), 43–64.

[4] T. Godin, I. Klimann. Connected reversible Mealy automata of prime size cannot generate infinite Burnside groups. 2016. DOI 10.4230/LIPIcs.MFCS.2016.44.

[5] R. Grigorchuk, V. Nekrashevych, V. Sushchanskyy. Automata, Dynamical Systems and Groups. *Proceedings of Steklov Institute of Mathematics*, 231:128—203, 2000.

[6] I. Klimann. Automaton Semigroups: The Two-state Case. *Theory Comput Syst* (2016) 58:664–680.

[7] O. Macedońska, V. Nekrashevych, V. Sushchanskyy. Commensurators of groups and reversible automata, *Dopov. Nats. Akad. Nauk Ukr. Mat. Prirodozn. Tekh. Nauki* (12) (2000), 36–39. MR 1 841 119.

[8] A. Lubotzky, B. Weiss. *Groups and Expanders*, Expanding graphs (Princeton, NJ, 1992), DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci., vol. 10, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993, pp. 95–109. MR1235570 (95b:05097).

[9] A. Lubotzky, L. Pyber, A. Shalev. Discrete groups of slow subgroup growth, *Israel J. Math.* (1996) 96: 399—418.

[10] A. Macintyre, S. Shelah. Uncountable Universal Locally Finite Groups, *Journal of Algebra* 43(1976), 168—175.

[11] V. Nekrashevych. Self-similar Groups. *Am. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005*, Math. Surv. Monogr. 117.

[12] B. Steinberg, M. Vorobets, Ya. Vorobets. Automata over a binary alphabet generating free groups of even rank. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 21(1-2):329—354, 2011.

[13] M. Vorobets, Ya. Vorobets. On a free group of transformations defined by an automaton, *Geom. Dedicata*, 124 (2007), 237—249.

[14] M. Vorobets, Ya. Vorobets. On a series of finite automata defining free transformation groups. *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, 4(2):377–405, 2010.

[15] T. S. Weigel. Residual properties of free groups, III, *Israel J. Math.* (1992) 77: 65—81.

[16] A. Woryna. On permutation groups generated by time-varying Mealy automata. *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 67(1-2):115—130, 2005.

[17] A. Woryna. Representations of a free group of rank two by time-varying Mealy automata. *Discuss. Math. Gen. Algebra Appl.*, 25(1):119—134, 2005.

[18] A. Woryna. The concept of duality for automata over a changing alphabet and generation of a free group by such automata. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 412(45):6420—6431, 2011.

[19] A. Woryna. The concept of self-similar automata over a changing alphabet and lamplighter groups generated by such automata. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 482:96—110, 2013.

[20] A. Woryna. The classification of abelian groups generated by time-varying automata and by Mealy automata over the binary alphabet. *Inform. Comput.* 249 (2016): 18—27.